Anisotropic Conjugated Polymer Chain Conformation Tailors the Energy Migration in Nanofibers
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ABSTRACT: Conjugated polymers are complex multichromophore systems, with emission properties strongly dependent on the electronic energy transfer through active subunits. Although the packing of the conjugated chains in the solid state is known to be a key factor to tailor the electronic energy transfer and the resulting optical properties, most of the current solution-based processing methods do not allow for effectively controlling the molecular order, thus making the full unveiling of energy transfer mechanisms very complex. Here we report on conjugated polymer fibers with tailored internal molecular order, leading to a significant enhancement of the emission quantum yield. Steady state and femtosecond time-resolved polarized spectrosocopies evidence that excitation is directed toward those chromophores oriented along the fiber axis, on a typical time scale of picoseconds. These aligned and more extended chromophores, resulting from the high stretching rate and electric field applied during the fiber spinning process, lead to improved emission properties. Conjugated polymer fibers are relevant to develop optoelectronic plastic devices with enhanced and anisotropic properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the framework of enhanced and flexible materials for optoelectronics and energy applications,1,2 organic semiconductors3 have been largely exploited due to their favorable charge-carrier and emission properties, and easy processing by solution methods, printing, and soft lithographies.1–7 Current applications include, but are not limited to, light-emitting devices,8 photovoltaic cells,9 field effect transistors,10 and lasers.11 The optoelectronic properties of organic semiconductors are mainly determined by the behavior of excitons, quasi-particles formed by an electron tightly bounded to a hole, which can be excited either optically or electrically. Such excitations are typically localized on the scale of a single or few molecules, and their migration and recombination dynamics determines both light-emission and light-harvesting properties.12,13 More specifically, short migration lengths are preferred for high fluorescence yield because this decreases the possibility of quenching excitons by encountering trap states and “concentration quenching”.14–16 Moreover, in photovoltaics long exciton migration lengths might favor exciton dissociation at the interface between organic semiconductors and electron/hole acceptors.17 Therefore, understanding and tailoring how excitation energy travels in organic semiconductors is an issue for improving device performance.

In fact, most current applications rely on materials with randomly oriented conjugated chains, which might limit the yield of photogenerated charges or photoluminescence.18 In such systems, electronic energy transfer19 directs the excitation toward lower energy chromophores, typically associated with low-emissive aggregates. Although several studies20–22 on isolated conjugated polymer chains suggested that a route to improve charge mobilities and emission yield is the development of effective processing methods which can force macromolecules to adopt extended and elongated conformations, little has been done in this direction. Indeed, individual conjugated polymer chains are intrinsically anisotropic and any high-performing solid state structure must inherit such a property.20,23,24 For instance, conjugated polymers were aligned in host–guest systems by using mesoporous silica templates,25 which led to fast intrachain electronic energy transfer,26,27 highly polarized emission,28 and low-threshold amplified spontaneous emission.29 However, these results were limited to templates with a pore size smaller than 5 nm, hosting isolated polymer chains, whereas properties similar to those of spin-cast films are found as soon as the channel diameter increases above 5 nm.28 In other attempts, an alignment of specific, molecularly designed conjugated polymer chains was induced along an applied flow field,24 a method allowing for obtaining a three-order of magnitude faster hole mobility along the direction of...
chain alignment compared to the perpendicular one, as well as high absorption and emission dichroic ratios. Poly[2-methoxy-5-(2-ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-phenylene-vinylene] (MEH-PPV) has also been aligned using liquid crystalline hosts.\textsuperscript{29–32} While it was established that the conjugated chains were highly extended, the procedure is only possible for very dilute polymer-liquid crystal solutions. In another approach, a simple shear flow was exploited to induce an extension of the MEH-PPV molecules in solution, using a Couette cell.\textsuperscript{33,34} A small increase of the polarization anisotropy was observed, together with a variation of the emission intensity and wavelength depending on the solution viscosity and solvents, which was attributed to different energy migration pathways activated by shear-induced modification of the molecular conformation. Despite such efforts, solid state structures made of conjugated polymers are still far from the performances expected for extended chains.

Recently, micro- and nanofibers made by conjugated polymers have emerged as a novel class of nanostructured, solid state materials featuring enhanced properties compared to bulk or thin film samples.\textsuperscript{35} Various approaches for producing polymer fibers are currently based on extensional flows or on other methods which induce extended and ordered chain configurations. As a consequence, improved charge mobilities,\textsuperscript{36–38} emission quantum yield,\textsuperscript{39} and polarized emission\textsuperscript{40} are observed in these systems. These nanostructures have already demonstrated potential as the active component of organic light-emitting diodes, solar cells, and transistors,\textsuperscript{41} and they can constitute a valuable benchmark system to tailor and control the fundamental properties of conjugated molecules in the solid state.

Here we report on fibers made of MEH-PPV, realized by electrospinning. Tailoring the degree of order of molecular chains through solution control is revealed by polarization spectroscopies and X-ray diffraction measurements. A comparison with thin films indicates that fibers composed of aligned and more extended polymer chains achieve a 5-fold enhancement of the emission quantum yield as well as emission polarized along the fiber axis, independent of excitation configuration. Femtosecond pump–probe anisotropy measurements indicate that, in the ordered fibers, photogenerated excitons preferentially reorient along the fiber axis. We find that the time scale of the energy migration is of the order of that expected for enhanced interchain coupling.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

MEH-PPV Nanofibers. The MEH-PPV nanofiber samples used in this work are shown in Figure 1. MEH-PPV is chosen as a prototype conjugated polymer, whose optical properties have been investigated in different packing configurations of the macromolecules, from single molecules,\textsuperscript{42} to isolated and stretched individual chains,\textsuperscript{43,44} small aggregates,\textsuperscript{45} and thin films.\textsuperscript{46} Uniaxially oriented arrays of fibers (Figure S1) are produced by using a rotating collector during electrospinning,\textsuperscript{47} and then they are collected onto quartz substrates and encapsulated in a photocurable polymer (Figure 1a,b) to prevent photo-oxidation (Figure S2). The encapsulation of the fibers in the resin also decreases the amount of reflected light, because of the reduced refractive index contrast with the conjugated polymer. The fibers have a ribbon shape, with an average ratio of their width and thickness of 2.5 (Figure 1c,d), and an average width of 300 nm. The rapid evaporation of the more volatile component (chloroform) of the liquid jet during the spinning process and the formation of a collapsing solid skin can be the origin of the ribbon shape.\textsuperscript{48} A flattening of the fibers upon substrate deposition, due to the presence of a fraction of slowly evaporating solvent (dimethyl sulfoxide, DMSO) in the solution, may also occur.\textsuperscript{49}

The possibility of obtaining fibers with strongly anisotropic packing of the constituent polymer chains is related to the very high strain rate (∼10\textsuperscript{3} s\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{50} exerted in electrospinning, which typically induces molecular stretching and orientation in the jet, that is then retained in the fibers. In general, conjugated polymers are poorly viscoelastic,\textsuperscript{49} a property often associated with their semiflexible backbones, and the final degree of chain orientation depends on many factors.\textsuperscript{46–49} Modeling of the conjugated polymer chain networks under elastic stretching evidenced that substantial axial extension is achieved within a few millimeters from the spinneret\textsuperscript{51} and that this depends on the volume fraction occupied by the polymer, \(\phi\), the applied electric field, \(E\), the jet initial velocity, \(v_0\), and the solution conductivity, \(K\).\textsuperscript{45,51} Typically, lower values of \(\phi\) and \(v_0\), and higher \(E\) and \(K\) are needed to increase the axial chain orientation. In our system, the presence of a nonsolvent (see Experimental Section) decreases the overall polymer volume fraction in the solution.\textsuperscript{41} Moreover, increasing the nonsolvent content is expected to improve the solution conductivity as well, because of the 2 orders of magnitude higher electrical conductivity of DMSO compared to chloroform.

This approach is supported by comparing the molecular order of fibers produced from solutions with even slightly different nonsolvent content. Figure 2a,b shows the polarized Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of MEH-PPV fibers obtained with chloroform:DMSO mixtures with volume ratios of 9:1 and 9:2, respectively. The spectra show peaks typical of MEH-PPV, such as the modes at 1040 cm\textsuperscript{-1} (ether C–O–C stretch), 1204 cm\textsuperscript{-1} (phenyl-oxygen stretch), 1415 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, and 1500 cm\textsuperscript{-1} (C–C ring stretch).\textsuperscript{53–55} In both samples, the
Absorption is maximized for incident light polarization parallel with the fiber longitudinal axis, which is indicative of alignment of the conjugated chains. In particular, the fibers obtained from solutions with higher content of DMSO show a more pronounced difference of spectra measured with the incident light polarized parallel or perpendicular to their axis, meaning that a larger fraction of chain segments are oriented along the fiber length. This is highlighted in Figure 2c, where the amplitude of the peak at 1500 cm\(^{-1}\) is shown as a function of the angle, \(\theta\), formed by the fiber axis and the direction of fiber alignment (Figure S1a). This mode has a transition dipole moment forming an angle of about 9° with respect to the chain axis,\(^{38}\) and it can be therefore be exploited to investigate the degree of chain alignment. An enhanced chain alignment is highlighted by the FTIR analysis upon increasing the DMSO volume fraction up to 20% (Figure S3a–e), whereas a further increase of the DMSO content negatively affects both the polymer chain alignment and the stability of electrospinning. A decrease of chain alignment is found with DMSO content in the range 20–30%, while continuous solid state fibers cannot be obtained for DMSO volume fractions above 30%. Overall, these and other\(^{39}\) results show that electrospinning may be exploited to finely tailor the orientation of conjugated chains in nanostructured materials. Hereafter, fibers obtained by (9:1) and (9:2) content of DMSO will be referred to as “lower molecular order” (LMO) and “higher molecular order” (HMO) samples, respectively.

**X-ray structural analysis.** To probe the molecular orientation and the structure of the HMO samples, free-standing bundles of uniaxially aligned fibers were investigated by scanning small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) measurements. Figure 3a shows the scanning SAXS map obtained from a 4 × 4 mm\(^2\) sample area. The contrast variation in the map is related to the amount of material. The predominant color in the 2D map represents the orientation of the anisotropic SAXS signal, and hence of the fibers, according to the color wheel reported in the inset of Figure 3a.\(^{30}\) It follows that the greenish color is related to a basically horizontal orientation of the fibers (i.e., parallel to the x axis in the map).

The WAXS signal, collected simultaneously and averaged all over the investigated area, is shown in Figure 3b. With this rotated by 90° relative to the orientation of Figure 3a for graphic reasons, the \(q_x = 0\) direction (i.e., the \(q_x\) axis) in Figure 3b corresponds to the \(y\) axis in Figure 3a. The intensity...
indicate the c axis of the crystalline domains, whereas the a and b axes lie in a plane perpendicular to c. 

Figure 4. Steady state optical absorption. (a) Absorption spectra of a MEH-PPV spin-cast film (continuous line) and HMO fibers (circles). The films are produced by using the solution of HMO fibers. The spectra are normalized to their maxima. The absorption spectrum of a dilute solution of MEH-PPV in chloroform is shown for reference (dashed line). Inset: polarized absorption spectra of HMO fibers, acquired by incident light polarized parallel (continuous line) or perpendicular (dashed line) to the fiber axis. (b–c) Pictorial representations of the microscopic arrangement of individual conjugated polymer chains in nanoﬁber (b) and ﬁlm (c). The inset in (b) highlights the polymer subchain conformation. Here, arrows indicate the c axis of the crystalline domains, whereas the a and b axes lie in a plane perpendicular to c.

As a result, Figure 3 indicates the presence of nanocrystals in MEH-PPV electrospun fibers, with crystallographic axes preferentially oriented along the fiber (c axis) or perpendicular to the fiber (a and b axes), determining a preferred orientation of the MEH-PPV active subunits along the fiber axis.

For comparison, MEH-PPV films were studied by collecting the WAXS signal in grazing incidence reflection geometry (see e.g. the GIWAXS pattern in Figure S4b). By extracting linear cuts from Figure S4b along the in-plane and out-of-plane directions (1D proﬁles with black spots and green triangles, respectively, in Figure 3e), an intensity modulation results also in this case, as a difference in the ratios of diffraction peaks related to a and c. Such a difference is more evident for the a parameter, which clearly features different diffraction intensities along the two directions. Note that the in-plane proﬁle has been multiplied by a factor 1.1, in order to compensate for the larger absorption because of the larger beam path in the ﬁlm. Accordingly, in the azimuthal proﬁles extracted from Figure S4b and reported in Figure 3c (bottom curves) for comparison, a corrected intensity larger by the factor 1.1 should be considered, toward the angular interval extremes, in order to compare it with the out-of-plane intensity (corresponding to the center of the curves). Such a correction factor does not signiﬁcantly affect the azimuthal trends for the a and b parameters (red triangles and black line, respectively, in Figure 3c), both featuring a clear intensity maximum in the out-of-plane direction. On the contrary, the basically isotropic intensity distribution observed for the c parameter (blue circles) would change to a slightly concave proﬁle, suggesting a slight preferred orientation of the c axis parallel to the sample plane, and hence perpendicular to a.

On the other hand, the b parameter also features a larger azimuthal intensity perpendicular to the sample plane. Therefore, the presence of several differently oriented crystalline domains is expected, particularly with preferred orientation of either the a or the b axis perpendicular to the sample plane. Overall, a lower degree of crystalline order is found in MEH-PPV thin ﬁlms compared to electrospun ﬁbers. Indeed, differently from ﬁbers, crystalline domains in ﬁlms develop independently, with random orientations as well as with the a or b axis preferentially oriented perpendicular to the ﬁlm surface, as in a lamellar stacking, whereas the c axis of the domains lies preferentially in the sample plane, with random in-plane orientations.

Steady state optical properties. MEH-PPV samples with varied degree of chain alignment are investigated by steady state
absorption and photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopies. In Figure 4a we compare the absorption spectra of spin-cast films and of HMO samples. As a general trend we observe a blue-shift of the absorption peak and a red-shift of the PL peak upon increasing chain alignment (Table 1). Interestingly, the absorption peak of the HMO fibers is comparable to the one measured in dilute solutions of MEH-PPV in chloroform (494 nm), suggesting that in fibers the polymer chains adopt a conformation closer to that of a good solvent solution. Furthermore, polarized absorption spectra acquired on arrays of uniaxially aligned HMO fibers show a relative red-shift of the absorption band for polarization of the incident light parallel to the fiber axis (inset of Figure 4a), which is indicative of a longer conjugation length associated with oriented chromophoric subunits. The observed spectral shifts are also compatible with an increasing degree of H-aggregation in more ordered samples, according to recent H- and J-aggregate models developed for conjugated polymers.58,59 In further support of this model, in the film and HMO fiber fluorescence spectra the intensity ratio between the (0−0) and (0−1) vibronic replica, \( R_{01} = I_{00}/I_{0−1} \), is less in the HMO fiber (Figure S5). This ratio provides quantitative measurements of the H- or J-aggregation prevalence in conjugated polymers, being typically >2 for dominant intrachain coupling (J-aggregation), whereas values <2 are indicative of interchain coupling (H-aggregation).58,59 Here we find a decrease of \( R_{01} \) from values in the range 1.4−1.7 for films to values of 1.3−1.5 and 1−1.3 for LMO and HMO fibers, respectively, consistent with increased interchain coupling and H-aggregate behavior in fibers. Overall, from polarized FTIR, X-ray scattering, and absorption spectroscopies we argue that during spinning a fraction of the active subchains are effectively stretched and oriented along the fiber axis, adopting a more extended chain conformation compared to amorphous films, with an increase of the associated conjugation length and a packing arrangement that supports interchain interactions with nearby subchains (Figure 4b,c).

More importantly, the fibers with more oriented polymer chains feature an increase of the PL quantum yield (\( \Phi_l \)) by about a factor 5 compared to films (Table 1). These results are in striking contrast to what is typically observed in conjugated polymers in the solid state, where a red-shift of the emission is associated with the formation of low-emissive aggregates.60 To investigate more in depth the origin of the enhanced and red-shifted emission, we perform a study of the polarization properties of a set of individual nanoﬁbers by microphotoluminescence (\( \mu \)-PL). Figures 5 and 6 display the polarized PL spectra of films and individual LMO and HMO fibers, acquired by exciting the fibers with a laser polarized

Table 1. Steady State Optical Properties of MEH-PPV Films and Fibers with Low (LMO) and High (HMO) Molecular Order

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Film</th>
<th>LMO Nanofibers</th>
<th>HMO Nanofibers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abs ( \lambda_{\text{max}} ) (nm)</td>
<td>500 ± 1</td>
<td>497 ± 1</td>
<td>492 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL ( \lambda_{\text{max}} ) (nm)</td>
<td>578 ± 1</td>
<td>590 ± 1</td>
<td>598 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Phi_l )</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi_f ) (nm)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \lambda ) (nm)</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi_f ) (nm)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \lambda ) (nm)</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \chi_f \) (\( \chi_f \)) is the ratio between the PL intensity polarized parallel and perpendicular to the fiber axis, collected upon excitation with a laser polarized parallel with (perpendicular to) the fiber axis. The associated errors are obtained as the standard deviation of the distributions shown in Figures 5 and 6. \( \Delta \lambda \) (\( \Delta \lambda \)) is the spectral shift between the PL polarized parallel and perpendicular to the fiber axis, upon excitation with a laser polarized parallel with (perpendicular to) the fiber axis.

Figure 5. Polarization PL analysis with excitation polarization parallel to fiber length. (a) PL spectral components of MEH-PPV films polarized either parallel with (red continuous lines) or perpendicular to (black dashed lines) the excitation laser polarization. (b–c) PL spectra of MEH-PPV fibers polarized either parallel with (red continuous lines) or perpendicular to (black dashed lines) the fiber longitudinal axis. Samples are excited with a laser polarized parallel with the fiber axis. The insets show the distributions of the nanoﬁber polarization ratio, \( \chi_f \), obtained by measuring several individual MEH-PPV nanoﬁbers, or different regions of the film. (d–f) Plot of the sample emission intensity vs the angle between the fiber and the polarization axis of the collection ﬁlter. The emission intensity maximum corresponds to the axis of polarization ﬁlter parallel with ﬁber length. Continuous lines are fits to the data by a \( \cos^2 \) law. (a and d): films; (b and e) LMO fibers; (c and f) HMO fibers.
either parallel with (Figure 5) or perpendicular to (Figure 6) their length. Independent of excitation configuration, the emission from films is largely unpolarized, as is characteristic of systems with randomly oriented chains, while the emission from fibers is polarized along their length (Figure 5a–c and 6a–c). The degree of molecular alignment affects the amount of polarized light detected, since the ratio between the intensity of the PL polarized parallel with ($I_\parallel$) and perpendicular to ($I_\perp$) the fiber axis ($\chi = I_\parallel / I_\perp$) increases by enhancing the chain orientation (Figures 5, 6, and S3f and Table 1). Moreover, the emission polarized parallel to the fiber longitudinal axis is red-shifted up to about 6 nm compared to the perpendicular one, and the spectral shift is even more pronounced for excitation polarization perpendicular to the fiber axis (Figure S6 and Table 1). While in films energy migration is effective in randomizing the emission polarization, which causes any memory of the excitation polarization to be lost, in nanofibers energy migration funnels energy toward chromophores oriented along the fiber axis, which emit at lower energy (i.e., are red-shifted) as a consequence of their more extended and conjugated network. The high stretching rate and electric field applied during the spinning process causes a prevalent orientation of chains along the jet axis but also their disentanglement, favoring H-aggregation and interchain interactions.

The increased emission quantum yield is therefore attributable to the unique processing conditions that, in contrast to other solution-based deposition processes, freeze the polymer chains in highly anisotropic configurations yet retain strong interchain interactions that promote energy migration. Remarkably, the emission polarization found on HMO individual fibers is indeed comparable to the PL polarization measured in isolated MEH-PPV chains, i.e. the ultimate achievable polarization properties for the emission of the studied system.

**Pump–probe stimulated emission anisotropy.** To investigate the origin of the anisotropic emission properties of the MEH-PPV films and fibers and more clearly understand energy migration in these systems, we perform pump–probe stimulated emission anisotropy measurements (Figure 7). The stimulated emission feature in pump–probe anisotropy measurements has been shown to be a sensitive probe of the migration of energy in conjugated polymers. In this technique, a pump beam with a defined linear polarization state prepares a subensemble population of excitons whose

![Figure 6. Polarization PL analysis with excitation polarization perpendicular to fiber axis. (a) PL spectral components of MEH-PPV films polarized along two orthogonal directions. (b–c) PL spectra of MEH-PPV fibers polarized either parallel with (red continuous lines) or perpendicular to (black dashed lines) the fiber longitudinal axis. Samples are excited with a laser polarized perpendicular to the fiber axis. The insets show the distributions of the nanofiber polarization ratio, $\chi$. (d–f) Plot of the sample emission intensity vs the angle between the fiber and the polarization axis of the collection filter. The emission intensity maximum corresponds to the axis of polarization filter parallel with fiber length. Continuous lines are fits to the data by a $\cos^2$ law. (a and d): films; (b and e) LMO fibers; (c and f) HMO fibers.](image)

![Figure 7. Pump–probe anisotropy measurements of an MEH-PPV film and HMO nanofibers. Steady-state absorption and photoluminescence of an MEH-PPV film and HMO nanofibers (upper panels). These PL measurements were made with $\lambda_{exc} = 500$ nm. Pump–probe anisotropy decay observed in the vicinity of the 0–0 band of the stimulated emission of an MEH-PPV film and HMO fibers (bottom panels). The data are plotted with seven counters in intervals of 0.1 over the range −0.25 to 0.45. The incident pump energy density values in the case of the film and nanofiber measurements were ~40 and ~15 $\mu$J/cm$^2$, respectively.](image)
transition dipole moments are aligned parallel to the laser beam. A probe beam then interrogates the state of the system with a polarization state that is variably oriented either parallel or perpendicular to that of the pump beam. The measured pump-probe stimulated emission data can be used to calculate the time-dependent anisotropy of excitons in the system, that is, their polarization memory, according to the following equation:

\[ r(t) = \frac{(S_{\text{para}}(t) - S_{\text{perp}}(t))}{(S_{\text{para}}(t) + 2S_{\text{perp}}(t))} \]

(1)

The anisotropy of the 0–0 band of the stimulated emission of the MEH-PPV film decays on the picosecond time scale toward a value close to zero. In the experiments on the MEH-PPV nanofibers, the nanofiber axis was oriented perpendicular to the pump beam polarization. We find that the anisotropy of the 0–0 band of the stimulated emission of the MEH-PPV HMO nanofibers also decays on the picosecond time scale but, in contrast to the MEH-PPV film, continues to decay beyond zero, taking on negative values at long pump-probe time delays.

We observe that the polarization memory of excitons in both films and nanofibers of MEH-PPV is lost on the few picosecond time scale (Figure 8). A stretched exponential function with a time constant of 2 ps reasonably well describes the anisotropy decay of the MEH-PPV films and overlays the data as a guide to the eye.

![Figure 8. Pump–probe anisotropy decay of the 0–0 band of the stimulated emission of an MEH-PPV film and HMO nanofibers.](image)

3. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, MEH-PPV fibers with oriented polymer chains are investigated by modulating the composition of the solution used for electrospinning. The structural characterization by X-ray diffraction measurements highlights a preferred orientation of molecules in the electrospun fibers, with the c axis parallel to the fiber axis and the a and b axes perpendicularly oriented, evidencing the formation of a well-defined 3-dimensional nanocrystalline order, differently from films, where randomly oriented crystalline domains are present as well as domains with different preferred orientations. The anisotropic packing and stretching of the MEH-PPV macromolecules in the solid state fibers leads to a 5-fold enhancement of the PL quantum yield compared to samples composed of chains exhibiting disordered packing (i.e., spin-cast films). Polarized steady state spectroscopy and femtosecond pump-probe anisotropy measurements indicate that energy migration prevalently funnels excitations toward chromophores oriented along the fiber axis, within a characteristic picosecond time scale. These emissive chromophores feature longer conjugation lengths and increased interchain interactions, demonstrating improved emission properties of the fibers compared to films. These nanostructures with precisely tailored internal molecular order are an example of how more efficient and directed energy transfer can be achieved, and can potentially enhance performance in light-harvesting architectures.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Nanofibers. MEH-PPV (M.W. 380 000 g/mol) was purchased from American Dye Source, Inc. and used as received. For electrospinning experiments, 36 mg of MEH-PPV was dissolved in 1 mL of a CHCl₃:DMSO mixture, with relative ratios in the range 9:1 to 9:4 (v:v). Experiments performed with higher content of DMSO did not allow a stable electrospinning jet and uniform solid state fibers to be obtained. The solution was stirred for 24 h to achieve the complete dissolution of the conjugated polymer. Afterward, the solution was stored in a 1 mL syringe, tipped with a 27 G stainless steel needle. The syringe was loaded in a microprocessor dual drive syringe pump (33 Dual Syringe Pump, Harvard Apparatus), used with a constant rate of 0.5 mL/h. A rotating disk (4000 rpm) positioned 10 cm far away from the needle was used as a collector, allowing uniaxially aligned arrays of fibers to be obtained. All experiments were performed by applying a positive bias of 10 kV to the needle, while the collector was biased at a negative voltage of −5 kV. Quartz coverslips (thickness 250 μm) were used as substrates. Following deposition, fibers were embedded in a photocurable polymer (NOA68, Norland Products Inc., refractive index, n = 1.54), which was cured for 3 min. The thickness of the cured resin was about 1 mm. Alternatively, free-standing bundles of uniaxially aligned fibers are produced by using the rotating collector and punched Al foils as substrates. Reference films were produced by spin coating at 6000 rpm on quartz substrates for 40 s. The reference dilute solution was produced by dissolving 0.2 mg of MEH-PPV powder in 1 mL of CHCl₃.

The morphology of the fibers was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM). SEM was performed by a Nova NanoSEM 450 system (FEI), operating at an acceleration voltage of 3 kV. AFM was performed with a Multimode head, equipped with a Nanoscope IIIa electronic controller (Veeco Instruments), imaging in tapping mode with Silicon cantilevers (resonance frequency 250 kHz). Polarized FTIR spectroscopy was carried out by using a spectrophotometer (Spectrum 100, PerkinElmer Inc.), equipped with a 1R grid polarizer (Specac Limited, UK), consisting of 0.12-μm-wide strips of aluminum, here used to generate an incident polarized light beam. Fluorescence imaging of the fibers arrays was carried out by using an inverted microscope (Eclipse Ti, Nikon) and an AIR MP confocal system (Nikon). The samples were
excited by an Ar+ ion laser ($\lambda_{exc} = 488$ nm) through an oil immersion objective with a numerical aperture (N.A.) of 1.4, which also collected the light emitted by the sample. The fluorescence intensity and spectral distribution were measured by a spectral detection unit equipped with a multianode photomultiplier (Nikon).

X-ray diffraction measurements. SAXS, WAXS, and GIWAXS measurements were performed in a laboratory (GID) SAXS/(GI)WAXS setup (XMI-Lab),

equipped with a Rigaku Fd-E Superbright microsource ($\lambda = 0.154$ nm) and a SMAX3000 three pinhole camera. A 200 $\mu$m (diameter) X-ray beam was employed. SAXS patterns were collected at a $\sim$2.2 m distance from the sample, with a 200 $\mu$m step size, by using a multiview Triton detector. GIWAXS patterns were collected at a 0.2° incidence angle, on an image plate (IP) detector with 100 $\mu$m pixel size at a 87 mm sample-to-detector distance. Patterns—SAXS/(GI)WAXS—collected at 87 mm, or more, from the sample were calibrated by using a standard Silver Behenate powder sample, or a Si powder from NIST as specified in the text. WAXS patterns collected at a 28 mm distance were calibrated by using a standard LaB6 powder from NIST. The batch for the scanning SAXS/WAXS experiments and the composition of data in the color map were realized by using the in-house software SUNBIM.65

Optical spectroscopy. Absorption spectra were collected by using a Tunest light and a monochromator equipped with a CCD camera detector (USB4000, Ocean Optics). The samples were mounted in an integrating sphere (LabSphere), in order to minimize artefacts due to light scattering. Polarized absorption spectra were obtained by using incident light polarized either parallel or perpendicular to the fiber axis. Similarly, PL spectra were acquired by exciting the samples, placed in the integrating sphere, with a laser (Coherent VERDI, $\lambda = 405$ nm), focused onto the sample. The light emitted by the sample. The polarization of the light was analyzed with a polarization filter, which was placed on a precision rotation stage, between the sample and the collecting lens. The samples were placed on a rotation stage, which allows the fiber axis to be precisely aligned with the polarization direction of the excitation laser.

Pump–probe stimulated emission anisotropy. The pump–probe stimulated emission anisotropy measurements were performed on a pump–probe spectrometer that has been described in detail previously.67 Briefly, a Ti:sapphire-based regenerative amplifier (Spectra-Physics Spitfire Pro) generates about 3.5 W of 800 pulsed laser light that has a duration of $\sim$100 fs and a repetition rate of 5 kHz. A portion of the output is directed toward a custom-built nonlinear optical parametric amplifier (NOPA) that converts the 800 nm radiation into wavelengths in the visible region. In the present experiments, the NOPA was tuned to encompass a spectral range from about 500 to 620 nm. The output of the NOPA is directed toward a series of compressors, including a grating and prism compressor. Details of the optical layout of the NOPA as well as the grating and prism compressors were reported previously.68 The NOPA spectrum is shown in Figure S7. The output of the prism compressor is then directed toward the pump–probe spectrometer where a glass wedge serves to split the beam into pump and probe beam paths. Both pump and probe beams pass through a waveplate and Glan-Thompson polarizer (New Focus), the combination of which allows us to control the beam intensity and the polarization state of the beams at the sample position. The path length of the pump beam is controlled with an automated, mechanical delay stage (Newport, Santa Clara, CA). The probe beam transmitted through the sample is collected and directed toward a monochromator and camera combination (Andor) for spectral detection. An optical chopper (New Focus) in the pump beam path operating at 625 Hz enables differential detection. Balanced detection is achieved by simultaneously accounting for fluctuations in the laser beam by using a photodiode to monitor the intensity of the second beam reflected from the glass wedge. Pulse compression was guided by minimizing the full-width-at-half-maximum of the coherent artifact measured from a solution of methanol in a spectrophotometer cell placed at the sample position that was subsequently replaced with a blank resin substrate. Pump pulse energies were determined by measuring the beam power, and the beam spot sizes were estimated by comparing the power transmitted through a pinhole placed at the sample position. Incident pump energy densities estimated in this manner were $\sim$40 and $\sim$15 $\mu$J/cm² in the film and nanofiber measurements, respectively.
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